

Alternate Water Supply - Stakeholder Advisory Committee Wrap-Up

Survey Monkey Results and Comments

18 SAC members responded to the Wrap-Up survey. 14 attended the SAC meeting in late February and 3 did not.

3. If you did attend, how would you rate the...						
	Excellent		Inadequate	Rating Average	Rating Count	
Venue	42.9% (6)	57.1% (8)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.57	14
Backup Materials Provided (White Paper)	78.6% (11)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.21	14
Staff Overview Presentation	78.6% (11)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.21	14
Feedback Activities	71.4% (10)	28.6% (4)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	1.29	14


Additional comments:

I think that the Staff did a great job with the meeting. It went well and more importantly was fun and interesting. It is obvious that the meeting and activities were well thought out.

From my perspective more of the costs of supporting BSEACD operations should be borne by the water rights holders, which means I would support an increase in the pumpage fee and a reduction in the City's contribution. This would strengthen the pricing

Sat in a very knowledgeable group with varied interests.

In your opinion, the District's primary objective for pursuit of Alternate Water Supplies should be to:



After group discussion, please help prioritize the District's strategies for alternative water supplies:

